

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 12/14/2001 10/014,508 Michiaki Sakamoto 382472/00 3588 07/13/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 McGinn & Gibb, PLLC ERDEM, FAZLI Suite 200 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 8321 Old Courthouse Road Vienna, VA 22182-3817 2826

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 :		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/014,508	SAKAMOTO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		Fazli Erdem	2826
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the correspond nce address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	A <i>pril 2004</i> .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-16 and 18-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,14,15,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,16 and 20</u> is/are objected to.		
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/014,508

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 11, 16 and 20 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 Prior art failed to establish the required concurrent formation of the insulating films.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-10, 12, 14, 15, 18 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kataoka et al. (6,266,111) further in view of Rho et al. (6,057,896).

Regarding Claims 1-10, 12, 14, 15, 18 and 19, Kataoka et al. disclose a diffuse reflection plate, manufacturing method thereof and reflection-type display device where in Fig. 5, pixel formation area is formed on the right half section and the thin film transistor formation area is formed on the left half. Pixel formation area includes prism shaped base structures 11, covered by uneven layer/resin 12. Thin film transistor formation area includes thin film transistors 51. Kataoka et al. fail to disclose the required passivation layer and the organic layer. However, Rho et al. disclose liquid crystal displays using

Art Unit: 2826

organic insulating material for a passivation layer and/or a gate insulating layer and manufacturing methods thereof where in Fig. 3, pasivation layer 100 and the organic layer 110 are disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required passivation and the organic layers in Kataoka et al. as taught by Rho et al. in order to have a liquid crystal display device with higher reliability.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2826

FE July 9, 2004

> nathan J. Flynn Supervisory patent examiner Technology center 2800